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Background

‘All breakthrough, no follow through’


• Much of the US $100 billion/year worldwide investment in biomedical and health research is wasted because of dissemination and implementation failures
Knowledge translation

Why do we need to think about knowledge translation?

• Traditional KT approaches have emphasised publication in peer reviewed journals
• Consistent evidence of failure to translate research findings into clinical practice
  • 30-40% patients do not get treatments of proven effectiveness
  • 20–25% patients get care that is not needed or potentially harmful

Schuster, McGlynn, Brook (1998). *Milbank Memorial Quarterly*
Grol R (2001). *Med Care*
KT terms encountered

- applied health research
- capacity building
- co-optation - cooperation - competing
- diffusion*
- dissemination*
- getting knowledge into practice
- impact
- Implementation*
- knowledge communication
- knowledge cycle
- knowledge exchange
- knowledge management
- knowledge translation
- knowledge mobilization
- knowledge transfer
- linkage and exchange
- popularization of research, research into practice
- research mediation
- research transfer
- research translation
- science communication
- teaching
- “third mission”
- translational research
- transmission
- utilization
- *cited most frequently
Knowledge translation

CIHR definition

• Knowledge translation is a dynamic and iterative process that includes the synthesis, dissemination, exchange and ethically-sound application of knowledge to improve the health of Canadians, provide more effective health services and products and strengthen the healthcare system.

• This process takes place within a complex system of interactions between researchers and knowledge users which may vary in intensity, complexity and level of engagement depending on the nature of the research results and on the needs of the particular knowledge user.
Knowledge translation

• Knowledge translation is about ensuring that:
  • stakeholders are aware of and use research evidence to inform their decision making
  • research is informed by current available evidence and the experiences and information needs of stakeholders
## Knowledge translation

### Audiences for KT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Audience</th>
<th>Basic</th>
<th>Clinical</th>
<th>Health Services</th>
<th>Population Health</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Researchers</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>+++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Professionals</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patients</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Administrators</td>
<td></td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>+++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Policy Makers</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>+++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Industry</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.....</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Knowledge to action loop

From: Graham ID et al. Lost in Knowledge Translation: Time for a Map? Journal of Continuing Education in the Health Professions, 2006
Knowledge for knowledge translation

Knowledge to Action loop

From: Graham ID et al. Lost in Knowledge Translation: Time for a Map? Journal of Continuing Education in the Health Professions, 2006
K for KT

- Individual studies rarely by themselves provide sufficient evidence for policy or practice changes
- Individual studies are often misleading
- An additional issue is dealing with the hype from scientific discoveries
Don’t believe the hype: early highly positive results often contradicted
Don’t believe the hype: early highly positive results often contradicted

• Analyzed 115 articles published in 1990-2003 in the 3 major general medical journals (NEJM, JAMA, Lancet) and specialty journals that had received over 1000 citations each by August 2004

• 49 reported evaluations of health care interventions; 45 claimed that the interventions were effective.

• By 2004 5/6 non randomised studies and 9/39 randomised trials were already contradicted or found to be exaggerated

Ioannidis JP. JAMA 2005
Don’t believe the hype: early highly positive results often contradicted

Ioannidis et al, Nature Genetics 2001
K for KT

• The results of individual studies need to be interpreted alongside the totality of evidence (i.e., systematic reviews)

• Emphasis on KT of individual studies may distract the stakeholder group (increasing the noise to signal)
  • ‘Don’t believe the hype’
  • ‘Don’t generate the hype’
K for KT

- Increasing availability of high quality guidelines and systematic reviews available to support practice:
  - Cochrane Collaboration
  - AHRQ evidence based practice reports
  - HUGENet
  - Multiple guideline development agencies
Action cycle

Knowledge to Action loop

From: Graham ID et al. Lost in Knowledge Translation: Time for a Map? Journal of Continuing Education in the Health Professions, 2006
Barriers to KT

- Structural (e.g. financial disincentives)
- Organisational (e.g. inappropriate skill mix, lack of facilities or equipment)
- Peer group (e.g. local standards of care not in line with desired practice)
- Individual (e.g. knowledge, attitudes, skills)
- Professional - patient interaction (e.g. problems with information processing)
KT key concepts

• Five key questions
  • What should be transferred?
  • To whom should research knowledge be transferred?
  • By whom should research knowledge be transferred?
  • How should research knowledge be transferred?
  • With what effect should research knowledge be transferred?

Effects of KT strategies

- Most of the approaches to dissemination and implementation are more often based on beliefs than on scientific evidence.
- ‘Evidence based medicine should be complemented by evidence based implementation’

Effects of KT strategies targeting policy makers and managers

- Health policy-makers perceptions of their use of evidence: a systematic review
- 24 studies involving 2014 interviews with health policy makers
- Most studies focused on hypothetical scenarios or retrospective perception of the use of evidence in relation to specific cases.

Innvaer, Vist, Trummald, Oxman (2002). *Journal of Health Services Research and Policy*
Effects of KT strategies targeting policy makers and managers

- **Facilitators**
  - Personal contact (13/24)
  - Timely relevance (13/24)
  - Inclusion of summaries with policy recommendations (11/24)

- **Barriers**
  - Absence of personal contact (11/24)
  - Lack of timeliness or relevance of research (9/24)
  - Mutual mistrust (8/24)
  - Power and budget struggles (7/24)

Innvaer, Vist, Trummald, Oxman (2002). *Journal of Health Services Research and Policy*
Effects of KT strategies targeting policy makers and managers

• Current ideas:
  • Targetted push of actionable messages
  • Knowledge brokers
  • Stakeholder partners in research enterprise
  • Capacity enhancement (eg EXTRA)
  • Communities of practice
  • .....
Cochrane Effective Practice and Organisation of Care (EPOC) Group

- EPOC aims to undertake systematic reviews of professional, organisation, financial and regulatory interventions to improve health care systems and health care delivery
- Register of 5000+ primary studies
- 41 reviews, 41 protocols
- Overview of 50 systematic reviews relevant to changing prescribing behaviour funded by CADTH COMPUS program
The Rx for Change database summarizes current research evidence about the effects of strategies to improve drug prescribing practice and drug use. This database houses summaries of key findings from systematic reviews that evaluate the effects of strategies targeting professionals, the organization of health care, and consumers.

For more information, please see: About the Rx for Change Database and Methods for Development

- Interventions that target professionals directly, aiming to improve practice.
  - Educational Meetings
  - Audit and Feedback
  - Educational Outreach Visits
  - Patient-Mediated
  - Local Opinion Leaders
  - Mass Media
  - Reminders - Computer Decision Support Systems (drug dosing)
  - Reminders - Computer Physician Order Entry
  - Reminders - General
  - Tailored Interventions
  - Distribution of Educational Materials
Overview of reviews of professional behaviour change strategies

- Educational materials (1)
- Educational meetings (1)
- Educational outreach (1)
- Audit and feedback (2)
- Opinion leaders (1)
- Mass media (1)
- Reminders – general (4)
- Reminders – Computer assisted drug dosage (3)
- Reminders – CPOE (1)
- Tailored interventions (1)
- Multifaceted interventions (1)

- Prescribing – general (10)
- Prescribing - safety (2)
- Changing roles – nursing (1)
- Changing roles – pharmacy (7)
- Financial (4)
- Regulatory (1)

- General (10)
Educational outreach

- **Educational outreach** - Use of a trained person who met with providers in their practice settings to give information with the intent of changing the provider’s practice. The information given may have included feedback on the performance of the provider(s).
Educational outreach

• Derives from social marketing approach
• Use social persuasion methods to target individual’s knowledge and attitudes
• Typically aim to get maximum of 3 messages across in 10-15 minutes using approach tailored to individual health care provider
• Typically use additional strategies to reinforce approach
• Typically focus on relatively simple behaviours in control of individual physician eg choice of drugs to prescribe
Educational outreach


• High quality review
• 69 studies (RCT, CCT)
• Multifaceted educational outreach visits were generally effective for improving appropriate care
• Prescribing behaviours - median effect across 17 comparisons 4.8% absolute improvement, interquartile range 3.0% to 6.5%
• Other behaviours – median effect across 17 comparisons 6.0% absolute improvement, interquartile range 3.6% to 16.0%
Educational outreach

- Relatively expensive although may still be efficient
- May be less effective for complex behaviours requiring team or system change

Overview of reviews summary

Summary

• Variety of interventions to change health professional behaviour – effects tend to be modest but important from population perspective

• However ‘No Magic Bullets’ – effects of interventions appears to vary across targeted behaviours, professionals and settings

• Feasibility and resources required for interventions also likely to vary
Effects of KT strategies targeting patients

- Cochrane Consumers and Communication Review Group aims to undertake systematic reviews of interventions to improve communication with consumers
- Register of 6400+ primary studies
- 24 systematic reviews and 16 protocols
- Overview of 21 systematic reviews of interventions that target consumers to promote evidence based prescribing for and drug use by consumers funded by CADTH COMPUS program
Effects of KT strategies targeting patients

- Decision aids for people facing health treatment or screening decisions
- Included 35 RCTs
- Decision aids improved:
  - knowledge
  - more realistic expectations
  - lower decisional conflict related to feeling informed
  - increased proportion of people active in decision making
  - reduced proportion of people who remained undecided post intervention
- Decision aids had a variable effect on which healthcare options were selected.

Effects of KT strategies targeting patients

• Generally effective interventions include patient reminders, simplifying dosage regimes, collaborative care strategies in primary care, self-monitoring of medications, practical medication management strategies, practice delayed prescribing, provide individualised patient support, lay health workers
Knowledge translation research

• Knowledge translation is a human enterprise that can be studied to understand and improve dissemination and implementation approaches.

• Knowledge translation research is the scientific study of the determinants, processes and outcomes of dissemination and implementation.
Knowledge translation research

- Knowledge synthesis (to identify the knowledge base for KT);
- Research into the evolution and critical discourse around research evidence;
- Research into knowledge retrieval, evaluation and knowledge management infrastructure;
- Identification of knowledge to action gaps;
- Development of methods to assess barriers and facilitators to KT;
- Development of the methods for optimizing KT strategies;
- Evaluations of the effectiveness and efficiency of KT strategies;
- Development of KT theory; and
- Development of KT research methods.
Summary

• Knowledge translation is about ensuring that stakeholders are aware of and use research evidence to inform their decision making.
• Knowledge to action loop provides framework for thinking about knowledge translation.
• Knowledge translation should be based on syntheses of research evidence.
Summary

• Different approaches likely to be needed depending on innovation, barriers to adoption, targeted stakeholders and health care system
• Evidence base about how to do KT is incomplete especially for policy makers
• Nevertheless opportunities for researchers to be more thoughtful about KT throughout research process
• Substantial opportunities for KT research to improve evidence base for KT
Contact details

• Jeremy Grimshaw
  jgrims@ohri.ca
• EPOC
  epoc@uottawa.ca
    http://www.epoc.uottawa.ca/index.htm
• CADTH database website
  http://www.cadth.ca/index.php/en/compus/optim al-ther-resources/interventions